## உபதேச வுந்தியார்

## UPADESA UNDIYAR

Payiram – Prefatory Verse

கன்மமய நீர்ந்துகதி காண நெறிமுறையின் மன்மமுல குய்ய வழங்குகெனச் - சொன்முருகற் கெந்தைரம ணன்நொகுத் தீந்தா னுபதேச வுந்தியார் ஞானவிளக் கோர்.

Know that *Upadesa Undiyar* is a light of knowledge [*jnana*] which our Father Ramana composed and bestowed upon Muruganar, who entreated, '[Graciously] reveal the secret of spiritual practice [*sadhana*] so that [the people of] the world may attain liberation and be saved by giving up the delusion of action [karma]'.

**Note:** This verse is not a part of 'Tiruvundiyar' but was composed by Sri Muruganar separately as a prefatory verse to *Upadesa Undiyar*.

உபோற்காதம் -*Upodghatam* – Introductory Verses

 தாரு வனத்திற் றவஞ்செய் திருந்தவர் பூருவ கன்மத்தா லுந்தீபற போக்கறை போயின ருந்தீபற.

Those who were performing austerities [tapas] in the Daruka Forest, were heading for their ruin by [following the path of ] Purva-karma. ('Tiruvundiyar' 1.70)

**Note:** *Purva*-karma here means the path of *kamya*-karmas (actions performed for the fulfillment of temporal desires), which is the path prescribed by the Purva Mimamsa, a traditional school of thought which interprets the *Vedas* in its own way, emphasizing only the *Karma Kanda* (the portion of the *Vedas* which teaches the path of ritualistic action). This school of thought elevates action or karma to a level of such paramount importance that, as expressed in the next verse, it even goes so far as to deny that there is any God except karma, that is, except the actions which are performed by an individual. This doctrine that there is no God except karma is emphatically refuted by Sri Bhagavan in the first verse of *Upadesa Undiyar*.

 கன்மத்தை யன்றிக் கடவு ளிலையெனும் வன்மத்த ராயின ருந்தீபற வஞ்சச் செருக்கினா லுந்தீபற.

Because of their deceptive self conceit they became intoxicated with excessive pride, saying, 'There is no God except karma. ('Tiruvundiyar' 1.71)

**Note:** The following is a summary of the story related in verses 72 to 98 of the first part of 'Tiruvundiyar':

Therefore in order to bring these deluded ascetics to the path of liberation (moksha), Lord Siva graciously took the form of a mendicant and entered the Daruka forest accompanied by Lord Vishnu, who had taken the form of a beautiful enchantress (Mohini). As soon as they saw the enchantress, the ascetics were overwhelmed with lust, which is so powerful by nature that it can overthrow even the most dispassionate people in spite of any amount of austerities (tapas) they might have performed, so long as they have not attained the true knowledge of reality. Hence, forgetting their daily ritual practices (nitya-karmanushtanas), the ascetics began to follow the enchantress, but soon she eluded them and disappeared. In the meanwhile, seeing the divine lustre

of the mendicant, who was Lord Siva Himself, the wives of the ascetics forgot themselves and began to follow Him. Having come to know of this, the ascetics became furious with rage and started to perform an *abhichara-yaga* (a sacrificial oblation intended to bring harm upon others), from which arose a wild tiger, an elephant, a fire, a trident and other such weapons, which they set upon the mendicant in order to kill Him. However the mendicant killed the wild animals and wore their skins as clothing, and held the other weapons such as the trident and fire in His hands. Thus the ascetics saw that even the weapons which arose from that *yaga*, which was the most powerful karma, were rendered useless in front of the mendicant, and hence they understood that He was God Himself.

3. கன்ம பலந்தருங் கர்த்தர் பழித்துச்செய் கன்ம பலங்கண்டா ருந்தீபற கர்வ மகன்றன ருந்தீபற.

They saw the fruit of actions done spurning God [the *karta* or Ordainer], who gives fruit of actions [*karma-phala*], and [hence] they lost their pride. ('Tiruvundiyar' 1.99)

**Note:** As explained by Sri Bhagavan in the first verse of *Upadesa Undiyar*, an action cannot bear fruit (that is, it cannot give the desired result) unless and until that fruit is ordained by God.

4. காத்தரு வென்று கரையக் கருணைக்கண் சேர்த்தருள் செய்தன னுந்தீபற சிவனுப தேசமி துந்தீபற.

When they wept [prayerfully], 'Graciously save us,' Siva bestowed the glance of His Grace [upon them] and graciously gave these instructions [upadesa]. ('Tiruvundiyar' 1.100)

5. உட்கொண் டொழுக வுபதேச சாரத்தை யுட்கொண் டெழுஞ்சுக முந்தீபற வுட்டுன் பொழிந்திடு முந்தீபற.

[By one's] imbibing and following [this] *Upadesa Saram* [*The Essence of Spiritual Instructions*], bliss will rise from within and the miseries within will be destroyed. ('Tiruvundiyar' 1.101)

6. சார வுபதேச சாரமுட் சாரவே சேரக் களிசேர வுந்தீபற தீரத் துயர்தீர வுந்தீபற.

May the import [saram] of Upadesa Saram enter our heart; may abundant joy be attained; may suffering cease, may it cease. ('Tiruvundiyar' 1.102)

## நூல் - Nul - Text

1. கன்மம் பயன்றரல் கர்த்தன தாணையாற் கன்மங் கடவுளோ வுந்தீபற கன்மஞ் சடமதா லுந்தீபற.

karma or action கன்மம் kanman fruit பயன் payan தரல் taral giving of God (the karta or Ordainer) **கர்த்தனது** karttanadu அணையால் anaival by the ordainment கன்மம் kanman karma கடவுளோ Kadavulo can (it) be God kanmam கன்மம் karma சடம் jadam insentient அதால் adal since

Karma giving fruit is by the ordainment of God [the *karta* or Ordainer]. Can karma be God, since karma is insentient [*jada*]?

**Note:** The word karma here means any action, whether ritual or otherwise, and the word 'fruit' (*payan* or *phala*) denotes the resulting pleasure or pain which has to be experienced by the person who does that action. The word *karta*, which literally means 'doer', here denotes God, who is the Ordainer of the fruits of karma.

Action or karma does not give fruit by itself but only in accordance with the ordainment of God. That is, the time when and the way in which each action gives its fruit is decided not by that action itself but only by God. Thus in this verse Sri Bhagavan emphatically refutes the Purva Mimamsa philosophy, which was espoused by the ascetics in the Daruka forest and which maintains that an action's giving fruit is independent of God, and that there is no God except action (karma). Since action is insentient, how can it be God?

 வினையின் விளைவு விளிவுற்று வித்தாய் வினைக்கடல் வீழ்த்திடு முந்தீபற வீடு தரலிலை யுந்தீபற.

ഖിതെെധിത് of action vinaiyin the fruit ഖിതെബഖ vilaivu ഖിപ്പിച്ചു having perished vilivutru வித்தாய் as seeds vittay ഖിതെ vinai action கடல் kadal ocean வீழ்த்திடும் vizhttidum will make (one) fall vidu liberation ഖ്(റ്റ taral giving தரல் **இ**லை ilai not

The fruit of action having perished [by being experienced in the form of pleasure or pain], will as seeds make one fall into the ocean of action and [hence] will not give liberation.

**Note:** When a seed is planted, it grows into a tree, and the tree in turn yields fruit. But the fruit consists of two parts, namely the edible part and the seeds. Though the edible part of the fruit is eaten, the seeds remain to develop into new trees and to yield more fruit.

Similar is the case with the fruit of actions or karma-phala. If we do a good action, its fruit will in due course be experienced by us in the form of some pleasure, while if we do a bad action, its fruit will in due course be experienced by us in the form of some pain. By thus being experienced in the form of pleasure or pain, the fruit of an action will perish, like the edible part of a fruit when it is eaten. But having perished thus, the fruit of that action will still remain in the form of a seed, that is, in the form of a tendency (vasana) or the liking to do such an action again. Such seeds or tendencies make one fall into the ocean of performing more and more actions. Hence the fruit of actions of any kind cannot give liberation (moksha).

3. கருத்தனுக் காக்குநிட் காமிய கன்மங் கருத்தைத் திருத்திய: துந்தீபற கதிவழி காண்பிக்கு முந்தீபற

கரு <b>த்</b> தனுக்கு	karuttanukku	to God
ஆக்கும்	akkum	dedicated or offered
நிட்காமிய	nitkamiya	desireless (nishkamya)
கன்மம்	kanmam	action
கரு <b>த்</b> தை	karuttai	the mind
திருத்தி	tirutti	will purify
<b>அ:</b> .து	ahtu	it (desireless action)
<b>க</b> தி	gati	liberation
auုပ <del>ြ</del>	vazhi	the path
காண்பிக்கும்	kanbikkum	will show

Desireless action [nishkamya karma] dedicated to God will purify the mind and it will show the path to liberation.

**Note:** No action (karma), whether done by the body, speech or mind, can give one liberation. But if action is done without any desire for its fruit and with the devotional attitude of offering the fruit to God, it will purify the mind and thereby make the mind fit to understand that Self-enquiry – which is not an action but a stillness of the mind – alone is the direct path to liberation. Thus, in the light of the words *gati vazhi kanbikkum* (will show the path to liberation) in the Tamil original, the words *mukti sadhakam* (is an aid or means to liberation) in the Sanskrit version should be understood to mean not a direct means but only an indirect aid to liberation. Sri Bhagavan used to say that whatever other path one may follow, one must at least at the last moment take to the direct path of Self-enquiry or Self-attention in order to know Self and thereby attain liberation (*mukti*), because 'Self-enquiry (*atma-vichara*) alone can reveal the truth that neither the ego nor the mind really exists' (*Maharshi's Gospel, Book Two*, chapter one).

In this verse Sri Bhagavan begins to expound karma yoga (the path of desireless action) and *bhakti* yoga (the path of devotion). It is important to note here that He does not take karma yoga to be a path which is separate from or independent of *bhakti* yoga. Unless the fruit of one's action is wholeheartedly offered to God due to one's sincere love for Him, that action cannot be considered to be a practice of karma yoga and hence it will not purify one's mind.

Then in the next five verses Sri Bhagavan summarizes the various practices of karma yoga and *bhakti* yoga in their ascending order of worth, each succeeding form of practice being more purifying than the preceding form of practice, and in verse nine He shows how such practices finally merge in Self abidance, which is the truth of supreme devotion (*para-bhakti-tattva*).

4. திடமிது பூசை செபமுந் தியான முடல்வாக் குளத்தொழி லுந்தீபற வுயர்வாகு மொன்றிலொன் றுந்தீபற

> திடம் didam certain இது idu this puja or worship பூசை pujai *japa* or repetition and செபமும் jepamum தியானம் dhyana or meditation dhiyanam உடல் udal body vakku வாக்கு speech ula mind உள தொழில் tozhil action higher or superior உயர்வு uyarvu ahum is ஆகும் ondril than one ஒன்றில் ondru ஒன்று one

This is certain, *puja*, *japa* and *dhyana* are actions of the body, speech and mind [respectively]; rather than [each preceding] one, [the succeeding] one is superior.

**Note:** *Puja* means ritual worship, *japa* means repetition of a mantra or a name of God, and *dhyana* means meditation.

In verse 2 of this work Sri Bhagavan teaches that *kamya*-karmas (actions performed for the fulfillment of desires) will only throw one into an ocean of more and more action and hence cannot lead to liberation, and in verse 3 He teaches that *nishkamya*-karmas (actions performed without desire for any fruit or result) will purify the mind and show the correct path to liberation. Therefore it is to be understood that the *puja*, *japa* and *dhyana* mentioned in this and the subsequent verses is only *nishkamya puja*, *japa* and *dhyana*, and not *kamya puja*, *japa* and *dhyana*.

5. எண்ணுரு யாவு மிறையுரு வாமென வெண்ணி வழிபட லுந்தீபற வீசனற் பூசனை யுந்தீபற.

> ത്ത് eight (or thought) en forms uru உரு all யாவும் yavum God இறை irai forms **உ**(h uru ஆம் am are ଗଙ୍ଗ that (or thus) ena **எண்ணி** enni thinking வழிபடல் vazhipadal worshipping God ஈசன் isan nal நல் good pujanai worship பூசனை

Worship [any of the eight forms] thinking that all the eight forms are forms of God, is good worship [puja] of God.

**Note:** The eight forms mentioned in this verse are earth, water, fire, air, space, sun, moon and living beings (*jivas*), all of which are forms of God, the one reality underlying the appearance of this whole world.

The Tamil words *en uruyavum*, which are here translated as 'all the eight forms', may also mean 'all (things), which are forms of thought'.

The words *vazhipadal* (worshipping) in the Tamil version and *sevanam* (worship or service) in the Sanskrit version may mean either performing ritual worship or rendering appropriate service. But whereas performing ritual worship can be applicable to all the eight forms of God, rendering appropriate service can be applicable only to living beings (*jivas*) and not to the other seven forms of God. That is, one can worship living beings either by ritual worship or by rendering some appropriate service to them, such as providing them with food, clothing or shelter, but one can worship the other seven forms of God only by ritual worship, since there is no appropriate service which one can render to the five elements, the sun or the moon.

6. வழுத்தலில் வாக்குச்ச வாய்க்குட் செபத்தில் விழுப்பமா மானத முந்தீபந விளம்புந் தியானமி துந்தீபற.

வழுத்தலில்	Vazhuttalil	than praising
வாக்கு	Vakku	voice
<b>உ</b> ச்ச	исса	loud
வாய்க்குள்	vaykkul	within the mouth
செபத்தில்	jepattil	than japa or repetition
ഖിழுப்பம்	vizhuppam	good
ஆம்	am	is
மானதம்	manatam	that which is done by mind
(manasikam)		
ഖിണம்பும்	vilambum	what is called
தியானம்	dhiyanam	meditation
<b>இ</b> து	idu	this

Rather than praising [God], [japa is good]; [rather than japa done in] a loud voice, [japa faintly whispered within the mouth is good]; and rather than japa within the mouth, that which is done by mind is good; this [mental repetition or manasika japa] is what is called meditation [dhyana].

7. விட்டுக் கருதலி னாறுநெய் வீழ்ச்சிபோல் விட்டிடா துன்னலே யுந்தீபற விசேடமா முன்னவே யுந்தீப்ற

விட்டு	vittu	interrupted
கருதலின <u>்</u>	karutalin	than meditation (or thinking)
ஆறு	aru	river or stream
நெய்	ney	ghee (clarified butter)
வீழ்ச்சி	vizhchi	the falling
போல்	pol	like
விட்டிடாது	vittidadu	uninterrupted
<u>உ</u> ன்னலே	unnale	meditation (or thinking)
விசேடம்	visedam	excellent (visesha)
ஆம்	am	is
<u>உ</u> ன்னவே	unnave	to do (literally to meditate or think)

Rather than meditation interrupted [by other thoughts], uninterrupted meditation [upon God], like a river or the falling of *ghee*, is excellent to do.

 அனியபா வத்தி னவனக மாகு மனனிய பாவமே யுந்தீபற வனைக்கினு முக்கம முந்கீபுற.

அனிய	aniya	what-is-other (anya)
பாவத்தின்	bhavattin	than meditation
<b>ച</b> രാത്	avan	Не
அகம்	aham	I
ஆகும்	ahum	is
அனனிய	ananiya	what-is-not-other (ananya)
பாவமே	bhavame	meditation indeed
அனைத்தினும்	anaittinum	among all
உத்தமம்	uttamam	best

Rather than *anya-bhava*, *ananya-bhava* [done with the conviction] 'He is I' is indeed the best among all [the various kinds of meditation].

**Note:** *Anya-bhava* means meditation upon God as other than oneself, while *ananya-bhava* means meditation upon Him as not other than oneself. In order to meditate upon God as not other than oneself, it is necessary to have the firm conviction that He is that which exists and shines within one as 'I'. When an aspirant is endowed with such a firm conviction, he will clearly understand that the best way to meditate upon God is to meditate upon Him merely as 'I', the reality of the first person.

Therefore, it should be understood that the words avan aham ahum (he is 'I') which Sri Bhagavan has used in this verse in apposition to the words ananya-bhava, are not intended to indicate that one should meditate upon the thought 'He is I', but are merely intended to denote the conviction with which one should meditate upon Self. That is, since Self alone is ananya (that which is not other than oneself) and since all thoughts, including the thought 'He is I', are anya (other than oneself), the ananya-bhava recommended in this verse should be understood to mean meditation upon Self or Self-attention rather than mere meditation upon the thought 'He is I'.

Thus in this verse Sri Bhagavan reveals how all the practices of karma yoga and *bhakti* yoga mentioned in the previous four verses must finally merge in the practice of Self-attention or Self-enquiry, and in the next verse He reveals that by the strength of such Self-attention one will attain the state of Self-abidance, which is the truth of supreme devotion.

9. பாவ பலத்தினாற் பாவனா தீதசற் பாவத் திருத்தலே யுந்தீபற பரபத்தி தத்துவ (முந்தீபற

பாவ	bhava	meditation
பலத்தினால்	balattinal	by the strength
பாவனாதீத	bhavanatita	which transcends meditation
சற்பாவத்து	sat-bhavattu	in the state ( <i>bhava</i> ) of being ( <i>sat</i> )
இருத்தலே	iruttale	abiding or being alone
பரபத்தி	para-bhatti	supreme devotion (para-bhakti)
தத்துவம்	tattuvam	truth (tattva)
<b>த்தின்</b> ற	iaiiuvam	trutti (tativa)

By the strength of meditation [that is, by the strength of such *ananya-bhava* or Self-attention], abiding in the state of being, which transcends meditation, alone is the truth of supreme devotion [para-bhakti-tattva].

**Note:** Having gained the firm conviction that God is that which shines in him as 'I', the meditator will try to meditate upon 'I', which alone is *ananya* or not other than himself. But since the meditator can remain as an individual only so long as he attends to what is *anya* or other than himself, he will automatically subside in his source when he completely withdraws his attention from what is *anya* and tries to fix it upon 'I'. Therefore what results from such *ananya-bhava* – the effort made to attend to 'I' – is that the meditator himself becomes non-existent by merging in the state of being (*sat-bhava*). When the meditator thus becomes non-existent, no meditation can take place, and hence that state is here said to be *bhavanatita*, that which transcends meditation. And since God is in truth nothing but the real Self, which is the state of being (*sat-bhava*), abiding in that state without ever leaving it, is itself the supreme devotion to God.

10. உதித்த விடத்தி லொடுங்கி யிருத்த லதுகன்மம் பத்தியு முந்தீபற வதுயோக ஞானமு முந்தீபற.

உதித்த	uditta	rising
இடத்தில்	idattil	in the place
ஒடுங்கி	odungi	having subsided
இருத்தல்	iruttal	abiding or being
அது	adu	that
கன்மம்	kanmam	karma
பத்தியும்	bhattiyum	and <i>bhakti</i>
அது	adu	that
யோகம்	yoga	yoga
ஞானமும்	jnanamum	and <i>jnana</i>

Abiding, having subsided in the place of rising [in one's source, the real Self] – that is karma [desireless action] and *bhakti* [devotion], that is yoga [union with God] and *jnana* [true knowledge].

**Note:** When, by attaining the above-said state which transcends meditation, the mind – which had to rise in order to do the actions of *nishkamya* puja, *japa* and *dhyana* – remains subsided in the source (the real Self) from which it had risen, that is the culminating point of karma yoga (the path of desireless action) and *bhakti* yoga (the path of devotion); it is also the culminating point of *raja* yoga (the path which seeks union with God through various methods of mind-control) and *jnana* yoga (the path of knowledge).

How such Self-abidance is brought about by karma yoga and bhakti yoga has been explained in the preceding verses; how it is brought about by *raja* yoga is explained in the following five verses; and how it is brought about by *jnana* yoga is explained from verse 16 onwards.

Compare here verse 14 of *Ulladhu Narpadu Anubandham* in which Sri Bhagavan says, 'Scrutinizing "To whom are these [defects], karma [action], *vibhakti* [non-devotion], *viyoga* [separation] and *ajnana* [ignorance]?" is itself karma, *bhakti*, *yoga* and *jnana*, [because] when one scrutinizes thus, [the ego or individual 'I' will be found to be non-existent, and] without 'I' these [four defects] can never exist. Abiding as Self, alone is the truth.'

 வளியுள் ளடக்க வலைபடு புட்போ லுளமு மொடுங்குறு முந்தீபற வொடுக்க வுபாயமி துந்தீபற.

ഖണി vali breath உள் ulwithin adakka அடக்க by restraining valai net ഖതെ படு padu caught bird புள் pul போல் like polஉளமும் ulamum the mind also ஒடுங்குறும் odungurum will subside odukka ஒடுக்க to restrain உபாயம் device, means, stratagem or contrivance upayam idu இது

By restraining the breath within, the mind will also subside, like a bird caught in a net. This [practice of breath-restraint] is a device to restrain [the mind].

**Note:** The reason why the mind subsides when the breath is restrained is explained in the next verse.

12. உளமு முயிரு முணர்வுஞ் செயலு முளவாங் கிளையிரண் டுந்தீபற வொன்றவற் நின்மூல முந்தீபற

> உளமும் ulamum mind உயிரும் and breath (or *prana*) uyirum knowing உணர்வும் unarvum செயலும் seyalum and doing உளவாம் ulavam which have கிளை kilai branches இரண்டு irandu two ஒன்று ondru one avatrin அவற்றின் their mulam மூலம் origin

Mind and breath are two branches which have knowing and doing [as their respective functions]; [but] their origin is one.

**Note:** The mind is a power of knowing or thinking (*jnana-sakti*) whereas the breath or life-force (*prana*) is a power of doing or action (*kriya-sakti*). But the original power which functions in the form of the mind and in the form of the *prana* is one, and is like the trunk of a tree having the mind and *prana* as its two branches.

The mind and *prana* may also be compared to a light and a fan which are both activated by the same electric power and which are operated by only one switch. If we operated the switch with the intention to switch off either the light or the fan, the other will automatically be switched off. Similarly, if we make an effort to restrain either the mind or the breath, the other will automatically be restrained and made to subside.

13. இலயமு நாச மிரண்டா மொடுக்க மிலயித் துளதெழு முந்தீபற வெழாதுரு மாய்ந்ததே லுந்தீபற.

ilayamum abeyance (laya) and இலயமும் destruction (nasa) நாசம் nasam two (of two kinds) இரண்டு irandu ஆம் am ஒடுக்கம் odukkam subsidence இலயித்து in abevance ilayittu that which is uladu உளது எழும் ezhum will rise will not rise எழாது ezhadu உரு 11111 form if (it) dies மாய்ந்ததேல் mayndadel

Subsidence [of mind] is of two kinds, abeyance [laya] and destruction [nasa]. That which is in abeyance [laya] will rise. [But] if the form dies, it will not rise.

**Note:** The various states in which the mind may subside are of two kinds, namely abeyance of the mind and destruction of the mind. If the mind subsides in a state of abeyance or *laya*, it will rise again in due courses, but if its form dies by subsiding in the state of destruction or *nasa*, it will never rise again.

The subsidence of mind gained by breath-restraint, like the subsidence of mind gained in states such as sleep, death, swoon and coma, is temporary and is thus only *mano-laya* or abeyance of the mind. From such abeyance the mind will rise again. Since happiness is experienced only when the mind subsides, and since the rising of the mind is misery itself, if we are to enjoy happiness for ever, it is necessary that the mind should subside permanently. Such permanent subsidence of the mind, which is the true goal of all spiritual endeavor, is called *mano-nasa* or destruction of the mind. Refer to *The Path of Sri Ramana*, *Part One*, chapter three, for a more detailed explanation.

The reason why breath-restraint or *pranayama* cannot bring about the destruction of the mind or *mano-nasa* has been explained by Sri Bhagavan in the eighth paragraph of *Nan Yar*? (*Who am I?*) as follows:

For making the mind subside, there is no adequate means other than enquiry [vichara]. If made to subside by other means, the mind will remain as if subsided, but will rise again. Even by breath-restraint [pranayama], the mind will subside; however so long as the breath [prana] remains subsided, the mind will also remain subsided, and when the prana comes out, it [the mind] will also come out and wander under the sway of tendencies [vasanas]... Therefore, pranayama is a mere aid for restraining the mind, but will not bring about the destruction of the mind [mano-nasa].

In order to bring about the destruction of the mind, it is necessary for the mind to scrutinize itself, the first person feeling 'I', and thereby to know its own true form of consciousness. This path of Self-enquiry is the 'one path' mentioned by Sri Bhagavan in the next verse.

14. ஒடுக்க வளியை யொடுங்கு முளத்தை விடுக்கவே யோர்வழி யுந்தீபற வீயு மதனுரு வுந்தீபற

> ஒடுக்க odukka by restraining வளியை valiyai the breath ஒடுங்கும் odungum which has subsided

உளத்தை *ulattai* the mind

മിറ്റര്ക്കേവ vidukkave when one sends or makes (it) go

ஓர் வழி	or vazhi	the one path, the path of knowing,
		or the path of becoming one
<b>ഖ</b> ്ധ്വഥ്	viyum	will die
அதன்	adan	its
உரு	uru	form

When one makes the mind, which has subsided by restraining the breath, go on the one path [of knowing and becoming one with Self], its form will die.

**Note:** The Tamil words 'or vazhi' used by Sri Bhagavan in this verse may mean either 'the one path', 'the path of knowing [Self]' or 'the path of becoming one [with Self]'. However, all these three meanings should be understood to denote one and the same path, namely the path of Selfenquiry, because the path of Self-enquiry is not only the path of knowing and becoming Self, but is also the one and only path which will destroy the mind, as explained by Sri Bhagavan in *Maharshi's Gospel*, book two, chapter one.

The fact that the one path mentioned in this verse is nothing but the path of Self-enquiry, is also made clear by Sri Bhagavan in verse 392 of *Guru Vachaka Kovai*, in which He says:

With the mind whose five knowledges have become one [that is, with the mind whose attention has become one-pointed instead of being scattered out through the five senses] in the [state of] peace which has come into existence due to the activity [of the mind] having ceased through abeyance of mind [mano-laya], which was effected by restraining the breath within, keenly scrutinize and know the defectless sat-chit [the pure adjunctless existence- consciousness 'I am'] in the heart.

That is to say, when the mind rises from abeyance [*laya*], it will be calm and peaceful, so one should make use of that peaceful state by turning one's attention to scrutinize and know 'Who am I?', its form will die, for it will be found that there is truly no such thing as mind at all.

15. மனவுரு மாயமெய்ம் மன்னுமா யோகி தனக்கோர் செயலிலை யுந்தீபற தன்னியல் சார்ந்தன னுந்தீபற

the mind-form (mana-rupa) manavuru மனவுரு due to (its) death மாய maya மெய் the reality mey மன்னும் mannum who is established மா யோகி தனக்கு ma-yogi-tanakku for the great yogi ஓர் or one (or any) action செயல் seval there is not **இ**லை ilai His தன் tan ival nature இயல் சார்ந்தனன் sarndanan He has attained

For the great yogi who is established as the reality due to the death of the mind form, there is not any action [to do], [because] He has attained His nature [His natural state of Self abidance].

**Note:** The sense of doership, the feeling 'I am doing this action', can exist only so long as the mind, whose form is the feeling 'I am this' or 'I am that', exists. Therefore, when the mind is destroyed, the sense of doership is also destroyed. Hence the yogi whose mind is dead and who thereby abides as Self, the reality cannot be the doer of any action. Whatever action He may

appear to do exists only in the outlook of those who mistake Him to be the body which does the action. Compare here verse 31 of *Ulladu Narpadu*, in which Sri Bhagavan says, 'For Him who enjoys the bliss of Self, which has risen by destroying the [individual] self [the mind or ego], what single thing exists to do? He does not know anything other than Self; [therefore] how to [or who can] conceive what His state is?'

In this verse Sri Bhagavan concludes the teachings about the path of *raja*-yoga, and from the next stanza onwards He teaches the path of Self-enquiry as the true *jnana-marga* or path of knowledge.

16. வெளிவிட யங்களை விட்டு மனந்தன் னொளியுரு வோர்தலே யுந்தீபற வுண்மை யுணர்ச்சியா முந்தீபற.

ഖെണി	veli	external
விடயங்களை	vidayangalai	objects (vishayas)
விட்டு	vittu	having given up
மனம்	manam	the mind
தன்	tan	its own
ஒளி	oli	light
உரு	uru	form
ஓர்தலே	ordale	knowing alone
<b>உ</b> ண்மை	unmai	true
உணர்ச்சி	unarchi	knowledge
ஆம்	am	is

The mind knowing its own form of light [its true form of mere consciousness, the real Self], having given up [knowing] external objects, alone is true knowledge.

**Note:** When, having given up attending to and knowing external objects, the mind attends to and knows Self (its own true form of consciousness, from which it was deriving light to know those external objects), that alone is true knowledge or *Jnana*.

The Sanskrit version of this verse has been misunderstood by some people to mean, 'The mind which is withdrawn from what-is-seen, is the seeing of one's own nature of consciousness; (that itself is) the seeing of the reality'. However, in the original Tamil version of this verse Sri Bhagavan has left no room for any ambiguity since He has clearly placed emphasis only on the positive aspect of Self-enquiry ('the mind knowing its own form of light'), and He has mentioned the negative aspect ('having given up external objects') only as a preliminary requisite. Merely giving up knowing external objects (or withdrawing the mind form what-is-seen) is insufficient by itself, because even though the mind gives up knowing external objects when going to sleep, it does not thereby attain true knowledge. If it is to attain true knowledge, the mind must not only give up knowing external objects, but must also make the positive effort of attending to its own form, the first person feeling 'I', in order to know 'Who am I?'

Therefore, in the light of the original Tamil version of this verse, the Sanskrit version should be understood to mean, 'The mind, which is withdrawn from what-is-seen (*drisya*), seeing its own nature of consciousness, is the seeing of the reality (*tattva-darsanam*)'.

17. மனத்தி னுருவை மறவா துசாவ மனமென வொன்றிலை யுந்தீபற மார்க்கநே ரார்க்குமி துந்தீபற.

மனத்தின் manattin of the mind உருவை uruvai form

without forgetfulness maravadu மறவாது when one scrutinizes உசாவ uchava mind மனம் manam െ ena ondru-ilai there is not one (there is ஒன்று இலை no such thing) markkam path (marga) மார்க்கம் நேர் ner direct arkkum for all ஆர்க்கும் idu this இது

When one scrutinizes the form of the mind without forgetfulness [that is, without *pramada* or slackness of attention], [it will be found that] there is no such thing as mind; this is the direct path for all.

**Note:** In the previous verse Sri Bhagavan taught that the mind knowing its own form of light (or consciousness) is true knowledge, and in this verse He teaches how the mind is thus to know its own form of light. When one vigilantly scrutinizes the form of a snake seen in the twilight, it will be found that there is no such thing as a snake at all, and that what was appearing as a snake is nothing but a rope. Similarly, when the mind scrutinizes its own form without forgetfulness – that is without *pramada* (slackness of attention) resulting either in the rising of thoughts or in sleep – it will be found that there is no such thing as mind at all, and that what was appearing as the mind is nothing but Self, the pure existence-consciousness 'I am'. Just as the rope is the sole reality of the unreal snake, so this existence-consciousness, which is the form of light mentioned in the previous verse, is the sole reality of the unreal mind. What then is that unreal and non-existent entity which is now called mind? The answer to this question is given by Bhagavan in the next verse.

18. எண்ணங்க ளேமனம் யாவினு நானெனு மெண்ணமே மூலமா முந்தீபற யானா மனமென லுந்தீபற.

only thoughts எண்ணங்களே ennangale the mind மனம் manam யாவினும் of all vavinum நான் எனும் nan enum 'I' எண்ணமே thought alone enname mulam root மூலம் ஆம் am is 'I' யான் van ஆம் am is mind மனம் manam what is called எனல் enal

The mind is only [the multitude of] thoughts. Of all [these thoughts], the thought 'I' [the feeling 'I am the body'] alone is the root. [Therefore] what is called mind is [this root-thought] 'I'.

**Note:** The term 'mind' is generally used as a collective name for the multitude of thoughts. Of all thoughts, the thought 'I am the body' alone is the root, since it is the one thread on which all other thoughts are strung (as stated by Sri Bhagavan in verse 2 of *Atma-Vidya Kirtanam*) and since no

other thought can exist in its absence. Therefore what is commonly called mind is reduced on analysis to this root-thought 'I am the body'.

It is important here to note the difference between this thought 'I', which is the mixed feeling 'I am the body', and the real 'I', which is the pure existence-consciousness 'I am'. When 'I am this' or 'I am that', it is the mind or ego. Refer here to *Maharshi's Gospel*, Book One, chapter six.

The thought 'I' is the knowing subject, whereas all other thoughts are objects known by it. Hence, though other thoughts come and go, the thought 'I' always remains as the background upon which they depend, and when the thought 'I' subsides, all other thoughts must subside along with it. Thus the thought 'I' is the one and only essential characteristic of the mind. Therefore, the ultimate truth about the mind can be discovered only when one scrutinizes the truth of this first person thought 'I'. Hence, when Sri Bhagavan says in the previous verse, 'When one scrutinizes the form of the mind...' we should understand that He means, 'When one scrutinizes the nature of the thought 'I'...', because only when the nature of the thought 'I' is thus scrutinized will the ultimate truth that the mind has no existence whatsoever be realized. This point will be explained in more detail in the forthcoming third edition of *The Path of Sri Ramana Part One*, chapter seven.

19. நானென் நெழுமிட மேதென நாடவுண் ணான்றலை சாய்ந்திடு முந்தீபற ஞான விசாரமி துந்தீபற.

nan endru	'I'
ezhum-idam	the rising place (or source)
edu	what
ena	thus
nada	when one scrutinizes
ul	within
nan	the 'I'
talai-sayndidum	will die
jnana-vicharam	Self-enquiry (literally,
	Knowledge-enquiry)
idu	this
	ezhum-idam edu ena nada ul nan talai-sayndidum jnana-vicharam

When one scrutinizes within thus, 'What is the rising-place of 'I'?', the 'I' will die. This is Self-enquiry [jnana-vichara].

**Note:** When one inwardly scrutinizes this root-thought, the feeling 'I am the body', in order to find out from where (or from what) it rises, it will subside and disappear because, like the snake, it has no reality of its own and hence can appear to exist only when it is not keenly scrutinized. This vigilant inward scrutiny of the source of the thought 'I', alone is *jnana-vichara*, the enquiry which leads to true Self-knowledge.

The words *ezhum-idam*, which literally mean 'the rising-place', here denote the real Self, the existence-consciousness 'I am', which is the source from which the thought 'I am this body' rises, and do not denote any place limited by time and space, which are only thoughts which rise after the rising or this root-thought.

The words *talai-sayndidum* literally mean 'will bow its head', but is commonly used in a colloquial sense to mean 'will die'.

20. நானொன்று தானத்து நானானென் நொன்றது தானாகத் தோன்றுமே யுந்தீபற தானது பூன்றமா முந்தீபற.

'I' நான் nan ஒன்று ondru where (it) merges in the place (*sthana*) தானத்து tanattu 'I-I' (or 'I am I') நான் நான் nan nan என்று endru as ondru adu ஒன்று அது the one tanaha spontaneously தானாக தோன்றுமே tondrume appears தான் tan itself அது adu that பூன்றம் pundram the whole (purna) ஆம் am

In the place where 'I' [the mind or ego] merges, the one [existence-consciousness] appears spontaneously as 'I-I' [or 'I am I']. That itself is the Whole [purna].

**Note:** When the mind or ego, the feeling 'I am this' or 'I am that', thus subsides and merges in its source, the real Self, the one true existence-consciousness shines forth spontaneously as 'I-I' or 'I am I', devoid of all superimposed adjuncts such as 'this' or 'that'. This adjunctless 'I-I' is Self, the absolute reality, the Whole.

The words *nan nan*, which are usually translated as 'I-I', may also be taken to mean 'I am I', since in a Tamil sentence such as 'I am this' (*nan idu irukkiren*) the word '*am*' (*irukkiren*) is generally dropped.

The ideas expressed in the above two verses are also expressed by Sri Bhagavan in verse 30 of *Ulladu Narpadu*.

## 21. நானெனுஞ் சொற்பொரு ளாமது நாளுமே நானற்ற தூக்கத்து முந்தீபற நமதின்மை நீக்கத்தா லுந்தீபற.

'I' நான் எனும் nan enum சொல் the word sol the import பொருள் porul ஆம் is am adu that அது nalume always நாளுமே Ί, நான் nan which is devoid of அற்ற atra tukkattum தூக்கத்தும் even in sleep namadu நமது our inmai இன்மை non-existence nikkattal because of the absence நீக்கத்தால்

That ['I-I', the whole] is always the import of the word 'I', because we exist [literally, because of the absence of our non-existence] even in sleep, which is devoid of 'I' [the thought 'I', the mind].

**Note:** Since we do not become non-existent even in sleep, where the mind (the feeling 'I am the body') does not exist, and since we are conscious of our existence in sleep as 'I am', that one reality which shines forth as 'I-I' or 'I am I' when the mind merges in its source and dies, is always – in all the three states (waking, dream and sleep) and in all three times (past, present and future) – the true import of the word 'I'.

22. உடல்பொறி யுள்ள முயிரிரு ளெல்லாஞ் சடமசத் தானதா லுந்தீபற சத்தான நானல்ல வுந்தீபற.

> udal body உடல் பொரி mind (literally, senses) pori உள்ளம் ullam intellect உயிர் uyir breath darkness இருள் irul எல்லாம் all ellam சடம் jadam insentient அசக்கு unreal (asat) asattu anadal since (they) are ஆனதால் the reality (sat) சத்து sattu which is ana ஆன Ί, நான் nan அல்ல alla (they) are not

Since the body, mind, intellect, breath and the darkness [of ignorance which remains in sleep] are all insentient [jada] and unreal [asat], they are not 'I', which is the reality [sat].

**Note:** The word *pori* used in the Tamil version of this verse and the word *indriya* used in the Sanskrit version, both of which literally mean 'senses', are used here to denote the mind, because that which functions through the senses is only the mind.

All the five sheaths or *panchakosas* – namely the physical body (*annamaya-kosa*), the breath or the life-force (*pranamaya-kosa*), the mind (*manomaya-kosa*), the intellect (*vijnanamaya-kosa*) and the darkness of ignorance (*anandamaya-kosa*) which is experienced in sleep due to the disappearance of the other four sheaths – are insentient and unreal, because they do not possess any inherent consciousness or existence of their own. Hence they cannot be 'I' the reality which is both self-existing and self-shining.

By means of the sequence of the ideas presented in verse 16 to 22, Sri Bhagavan reveals to us the true and practical import of the scriptural teaching known as *neti-neti* (not this, not this). This teaching is generally misunderstood to mean that an aspirant should try to negate the five sheaths by meditating, 'I am not this, I am not this'. However, in the above seven verses Sri Bhagavan reveals that *neti-neti* is not intended to denote a method of practice, but only indicates the final state of experience. That is, in verses 16 to 19 Sri Bhagavan teaches us the method of practicing Self-enquiry; in verse 20 He teaches us that as a result of such practice the reality will shine forth spontaneously as 'I-I' or 'I am I; in verse 21 He declares that the reality which thus shines forth as 'I-I' is always the true import of the word 'I'; and finally in verse 22 He concludes by saying that since the five sheaths are insentient and unreal, they cannot be 'I', the reality whose nature is existence-consciousness. In other words, the true knowledge that the five sheaths are not 'I' is an experience which can be attained only by knowing the real nature of 'I' through the practice of Self-enquiry.

The reason why the scriptures begin by teaching that the five sheaths are not 'I', is that in order to practise Self-enquiry it is useful for an aspirant to understand intellectually that the 'I' which is to be attended to is not the body or any of the other adjuncts which are now felt by him to be mixed with the feeling 'I'. But since Sri Bhagavan does not want us to fall a prey to the misunderstanding that pondering intellectually over the truth that the five sheaths are not 'I' is itself the method of negating the five sheaths, in this work He has carefully taught us the method of practising Self-enquiry before revealing to us the conclusion that the five sheaths are not 'I'.

In the remaining eight verses of this work, Sri Bhagavan reveals more conclusions which will be useful in helping us to put Self-enquiry into practice, but which can be realized through direct experience only when we actually attend to 'I' and thereby realize its true nature.

23. உள்ள துணர வுணர்வுவே நின்மையி னுள்ள துணர்வாகு முந்தீபற வுணர்வேநா மாயுள முந்தீபற

> that which exists உள்ளது ulladu to know உணர unara உணர்வு consciousness unarvu another வேங veru because of the non existence இன்மையின் inmaiyin that which exists உள்ளது ulladu உணiவ unarvu consciousness

ஆகும் ahum is

உணர்வே unarve consciousness itself

நாமாய் உளம் namay ulam is 'we' (literally, exists as 'we')

Because of the non-existence of another consciousness to know that which exists, that which exists [the reality or *sat*] is consciousness [or *chit*]. [That] consciousness itself is 'we' [the real Self].

**Note:** That which truly exists is only 'we', the real Self or 'I' which shines forth spontaneously when the mind dies. Since this 'we' is the only true existence or reality, there cannot exist any consciousness other than it to know it, and hence it is itself the consciousness which knows itself. Therefore 'we', the reality (*sat*), are also consciousness (*chit*). In other words, our existence and the knowledge of our existence are not two different things, but are one and the same reality.

24. இருக்கு மியற்கையா லீசசீ வர்க ளொருபொரு ளேயாவ ருந்தீபற வுபாதி யுணர்வேவே றுந்தீபற.

> existing இருக்கும் irukkum இயற்கையால் iyarkaiyal by nature God **ふ**. ச isa சீவர்கள் jivarkal souls oru one ஒரு பொருளே porule substance only ஆவர் avar are

உபாதி *upadhi* adjunct

ഉത്ത് *unarve* knowledge alone

Caum veru different

By existing nature [that is, in their real nature, which is existence or *sat*], God and souls are only one substance [or *vastu*]. [Their] adjunct-knowledge [or adjunct-consciousness] alone is different.

**Note:** The existence-consciousness 'I am' is the real nature both of God (Iswara) and of the souls (*jivas*). But on this 'I am' adjuncts or *upadhis* are superimposed, and these adjuncts, which are a form of wrong knowledge or ignorance, give rise to the seeming differences which exist between God and the soul. For example, the soul feels, 'I possess little knowledge, but God is all-knowing;

I am powerless, but God is all-powerful; I am limited, but God is all-pervading.' Such feelings of the soul are what are here called the 'adjunct-knowledge' (*upadhi-unarvu* in the Tamil version and *vesha-dhi* in the Sanskrit version). It is important to note here that this 'adjunct-knowledge' is an imagination which exists only in the outlook of the soul (*jiva-drishti*) and not in the outlook of God (Iswara-*drishti*).

25. தன்னை யுபாதிவிட் டோர்வது தானீசன் நன்னை யுணர்வதா முந்தீபற தானா யொளிர்வதா லுந்தீபற.

தன்னை	tannai	oneself
உபாதி	upadhi	adjuncts
விட்டு	vittu	having given up
ஓர்வது	orvadu	knowing
தான்	tan	itself
ஈசன் தன்னை	isan-tannai	God
உணர்வது	unarvadu	knowing
ஆம்	am	is
தானாய்	tanay	as oneself
ஒளிர்வதால்	olirvadal	because He shines

Knowing oneself having given up [one's own] adjuncts [*upadhis*], is itself knowing God, because He shines as oneself [as one's own reality, 'I am'].

**Note:** Since that which exists and shines in one as 'I am' is the true nature of God, and since it is only one's own adjunct-knowledge (*upadhi-unarvu*) that veils one's knowledge of this 'I am', knowing this 'I am', which is one's own real Self, without adjuncts (*upadhis*) is itself knowing God

Compare here verse 20 of *Ulladu Narpadu*, in which Sri Bhagavan says, '... He who sees the [real] Self, the source of the [individual] self, alone is He who has seen God, because the [real] Self – [which shines forth] after the base, the [individual] self, has perished – is not other than God'.

26. தானா யிருத்தலே தன்னை யறிதலாந் தானிரண் டற்றதா லுந்தீபற தன்மய நிட்டையீ துந்தீபற.

தானாய்	tanay	Self (literally, as Self)
இருத்தலே	iruttale	being itself
தன்னை	tannai	Self
அறிதல்	aridal	knowing
ஆம்	am	is
தான்	tan	Self
இரண்டு	irandu	two
அற்றது	atradu	that which is not
<b>ஆ</b> ல்	al	because
தன்மய	tanmaya	as the reality
நிட்டை	nittai	abidance (nishta)
ஈது	idu	this

Being Self is itself knowing Self, because Self is that which is not two. This is abidance as the reality (*tanmaya-nishta*).

**Note:** Since we do not have two selves, one self to be known by the other self, what is called Self-knowledge is nothing but the state of being Self – that is, the state of abiding as we really are, as the mere existence-consciousness 'I am', instead of rising as 'I am this' or 'I am that'. This state of being Self is what is called 'Self-abidance' (*atma-nishta*) or 'abidance as the reality' (*tanmaya-nishta*).

27. அறிவறி யாமையு மற்ற வறிவே யறிவாகு முண்மையீ துந்தீபற வறிவதற் கொன்றிலை யுந்தீபற

அறிவு arivu knowledge அறியாமை ariyamai ignorance உம் both umஅந்ந which is devoid of atra அനിவേ the knowledge alone arive அநிவு knowledge arivu ஆகும் ahum is உண்மை unmai the truth idu this ஈது அறிவதற்கு to know arivadarku ஒன்று இலை ondru-ilai there is nothing

The knowledge which is devoid of both knowledge and ignorance [about objects], alone is [real] knowledge. This is the truth, [because in the state of Self-experience] there is nothing to know [other than oneself].

**Note:** The mere consciousness of one's own existence, 'I am', which is devoid both of the feeling 'I know' and of the feeling 'I do not know', alone is true knowledge.

Compare here verse 12 of *Ulladu Narpadu*, in which Sri Bhagavan says, 'That which is completely devoid of knowledge and ignorance [about objects], is [true] Knowledge. That which knows [anything other than itself] is not true knowledge. Since Self shines without another [for it] to know or to make [it] known, it is [true] knowledge; it is not a void [though devoid of both knowledge and ignorance about objects]. Know thus.'

28. தனாதியல் யாதெனத் தான்றெரி கிற்பின் னனாதி யனந்தசத் துந்தீபற வகண்ட சிதானந்த முந்தீபற.

tanadu one's own தனாது ival nature இயல் yadu-ena what யாது என if one knows தான் தெரிகில் tan terihil பின் then pin beginningless அனாதி anadi அனந்த endless ananta existence சத்து sattu akhanda unbroken அகண்ட சிக் chit consciousness ஆனந்தம் anandam bliss

If one knows what one's own nature is, then [what will remain and shine is only] the beginningless, endless and unbroken existence-consciousness-bliss [anadi ananta akhanda satchit-ananda].

**Note:** This verse may also be interpreted to mean, 'If one knows what one's own nature is, then (it will be found to be ) the beginningless, endless and unbroken existence-consciousness-bliss'.

29. பந்தவீ டற்ற பரசுக முற்றவா நிந்த நிலைநிற்ற லுந்தீபற விறைபணி நிற்றலா முந்தீபற.

> bandha bondage பந்த ഖ്(റ്റ vidu liberation which is devoid of அற்ற atra para-sukham the supreme bliss பரசுகம் உந்நவாறு uttravaru having attained this இந்த inda நிலை nilai state நிற்றல் nitral abiding இரைபணி irai-pani the service of God (or enjoined by God) நிற்றல் nitral abiding ஆம் am is

Abiding in this state [of Self], having attained the supreme bliss [mentioned in the previous verse], which is devoid of bondage and liberation, is abiding in the service of God [or is abiding as enjoined by God].

**Note:** Bondage and liberation are both mere thoughts, and hence they can exist only in the state of ignorance (*ajnana*) and not in the state of true knowledge (*jnana*), the state of Self-abidance. Compare here verse 39 of *Ulladu Narpadu*, in which Sri Bhagavan says, 'Only so long as one, being a madman [a person devoid of true knowledge], feels "I am a bound one", will there exist thoughts of bondage and liberation. [But] when one sees oneself [by enquiring] "Who is the bound one?" and when [thereby] the ever-liberated one [the real Self] alone remains as the established truth, since the thought of bondage cannot remain, can the thought of liberation remain?"

Since God is the perfect Whole, He does not need or want any service from us. But when we rise as a separate individual feeling 'I am this body', we experience endless misery, and hence it becomes necessary for the all-merciful God to run to our rescue in order to save us from our own self-created problems. Thus, by our rising as 'I am so-and-so', we make it necessary for God to serve us. Therefore, the only true service we can render to God is to cease rising as an individual and thereby to refrain from making it necessary for Him to serve us. Hence, to abide eternally as Self instead of rising again as an individual is truly to abide in the service of God.

In the Sanskrit version of this verse, the meaning of which is, 'The soul [jiva] who attains here the supreme bliss which transcends bondage and liberation, is indeed divine [daivikah]', Sri Bhagavan has made no direct mention of 'abiding in the service of God', which is the central idea in the original Tamil version of this verse. However, Sri Bhagavan once explained that the word 'daivikah' (divine) which He used in the Sanskrit version of this verse is intended to imply 'one whose actions are the actions of God', because he who has attained the state of supreme bliss has lost his individuality and is hence not other than God, the one supreme reality. Compare verse

1139 of *Guru Vachaka Kovai*, in which Sri Bhagavan says, 'If it be asked, "If they [those who abide as Self] have lost the sense of doership, how can the actions [of their body, speech and mind] go on? We do see such actions going on," rest assured that, since their inner attachments have died, they have God Himself residing in their heart and doing [all those actions].'

30. யானற் நியல்வது தேரி னெதுவது தானற் நவமென்றா னுந்தீபற தானாம் ரமணேச னுந்தீபற.

யான்	yan	I
அற்று	atru	having ceased to exist
இயல்வது	iyalvadu	that which remains
தேரின்	terin	if one knows
எது	edu	what
அது	adu	that
தான்	tan	alone
நல்	nal	excellent
தவம்	tavam	austerities or tapas
என்றான்	endran	thus said
தான்	tan	self
ஆம்	am	who is
ரமணேசன்	ramanesan	Lord Ramana

<sup>&#</sup>x27;What [is experienced] if one knows that which remains after 'I' has ceased to exist, that alone is excellent *tapas*' – thus said Lord Ramana, who is Self.

**Note:** The state which is experienced when one knows and abides as the real Self, which is that which remains after the individual 'I' or ego has ceased to exist – that state of the non-rising of the ego alone is real *tapas*.

The so-called austerities or *tapas* which were performed by the ascetics in the Daruka Forest, were not at all true *tapas*, because they were performed only with the aim of gaining power, fulfilling desires and thereby enhancing the ego. True *tapas* as taught by the Lord Siva to those ascetics and as defined by Sri Bhagavan in this work is nothing but the state of egolessness (the state of perfect self-denial), in which one knows and abides as the real Self instead of rising as an individual to do or to achieve anything.

The Tamil version of this last verse was composed by Sri Muruganar. The five verses which follow are the final five verses of the first part of Sri Muruganar's 'Tiruvundiyar', and they were appended by Sri Bhagavan to the main text of *Upadesa Undiyar* as concluding verses.

1. இருடிக ளெல்லா மிறைவ னடியை வருடி வணங்கின ருந்தீபற வாழ்த்து முழங்கின ருந்தீபற.

Touching the Feet of God [Lord Siva], all the *rishis* [the ascetics in the Daruka Forest] paid obeisance [to Him] and sang His praises. ('Tiruvundiyar' 1.133)

2. உற்றார்க் குறுதி யுபதேச வுந்தியார் சொற்ற குருபர னுந்தீபற சுமங்கள வேங்கட னுந்தீபற The supreme Guru who sang *Upadesa Undiyar* as an assurance to the devotees [who came to Him for salvation], is the auspicious Venkatan [Sri Ramana]. ('Tiruvundiyar' 1.134)

3. பல்லாண்டு பல்லாண்டு பற்பன்னூ நாயிரம் பல்லாண்டு பல்லாண்டு முந்தீபற பார்மிசை வாழ்கவே யுந்தீபற

May He [Sri Ramana] shine gloriously on earth for many hundreds of thousand of years. ('Tiruvundiyar' 1.135)

4. இசையெடுப் போருஞ் செவிமடுப் போரும் வசையறத் தேர்வோரு முந்தீபற வாழி பலவூழி யுந்தீபற

May those who sin, those who hear and those who flawlessly understand [this *Upadesa Undiyar*] shine gloriously for many aeons. ('Tiruvundiyar' 1.136)

 கற்கு மவர்களுங் கற்றுணர்ந் தாங்குத்தா நிற்கு மவர்களு முந்தீபற நீடூழி வாழியே யுந்தீபற.

May those who learn [this *Upadesa Undiyar*] and those who, having learnt and understood it, abide there [in Self], shine gloriously for long aeons.( 'Tiruvundiyar' 1.137)

Sri Ramanarpanamastu